

PHEASANT RUN ROAD MAINTENANCE ASSOCIATION, INC.
BOARD OF DIRECTORS
MEETING SUMMARY
June 20, 2007

A regular meeting of the Pheasant Run Road Maintenance Association, Inc., Board of Directors was held at the Administration Building, Leisure Services Conference Room, on June 20, 2007.

Members Present: Ann Conklin, Canton Township, Dave Nagy, Pheasant View, Craig Stephens, Fairways, Tim Kljun, Roadway Manager, Tom Gamache, Fairway Pines, Tom Casari, Canton Township

Others Present: Deborah Dooley, Canton Township

Ann Conklin called the meeting to order at 5:45 p.m.

II. Approval of Meeting Minutes:

Motion by Nagy, supported by Stephens to table the minutes for May 23, 2007 for additional corrections submitted by Mr. Gamache to be approved at the July 25, 2007 PRRMA meeting. Motion approved unanimously.

III. Financial Activity Review:

Tim Kljun presented the financial reports as of May 31, 2007, consisting of:

- Balance Sheet – Mr. Kljun stated as indicated on the balance sheet all CD's are paying in excess of 5%.
- State of Income and Expense
- Reserve Account (Asset) Activity
- Aged Receivable (Fairway Pines) Mr. Nagy inquired if the \$33,675.91 is inclusive of the interest for Fairway Pines. Mr. Kljun stated it is just the face amount with no interest.
- Aged Payables (Insurance)
- Current Status of all CD's – Mr. Kljun stated the next CD maturing on July 25, 2007 at University Bank, for \$50,000 (contribution amount). Community Bank of Dearborn CD maturing on August 16, 2007 for \$41,235.24 (contribution amount). AACB CD maturing on August 8, 2007 for \$35,837.20 (contribution amount). AACB CD maturing on August 20, 2007 for \$33,328.13 (contribution amount). Mr. Kljun stated the amount of money accrued as interest will be added to the contribution amount. Mr. Kljun stated he evaluates where PRRMA stands on expected expenses and decides if this CD money is needed. Ms. Conklin stated Mr. Kljun typically sends her an email indicating when a CD is maturing and she goes over the financials and currently our policy indicates PRRMA is going to do CD's. Ms. Conklin stated

at sometime in the future PRRMA may choose to do another form of investments. Ms. Conklin stated for the last year there has been discussion on other options than CDs. Mr. Nagy stated liquidity has been another issue for anticipated repairs to pay the bills. Ms. Conklin stated Mr. Kljun is following policy. Mr. Gamache stated he had heard there was a rule that PRRMA had to do CDs. Mr. Stephens stated these were low risk investments. Mr. Gamache indicated he did not know what PRRMA's flexibility was and it would seem to him that there are some better investments that are still low risk. Mr. Kljun stated the policy stated government securities, CD, money market, and investment in commercial paper (requires \$100,000 minimum). Mr. Kljun stated banks will not give loans against any government security. Mr. Gamache stated if PRRMA had a financial advisor with a broad view of investment options there maybe a potential. Mr. Stephens stated if PRRMA were to employ an investor with the cost to the investor the investments would have to do exceptional well to cover the expense of their fees. Mr. Stephens stated if PRRMA viewed road repairs like saving for retirement then a different investment policy would be needed. Mr. Stephens stated the problem PRRMA has is cash flow and most investments will be short term. Mr. Kljun stated the investment market currently is upside down and short term is paying better than long term. Mr. Kljun stated his recommendation is to stay in short term investments.

Mr. Stephens stated he sees a three (3) step process:

1. Agree how much money will be spent
2. Agree how money will be obtained
3. Evaluate the money in the bank and CDs and agree how it could be better used

Mr. Kljun stated the repair of the entry monument at Fairway Pines is \$2,000, and he hasn't spoken with the insurance company on this matter. Ms. Conklin stated when a claim is filed the applicant pays and the insurance company reimburses. Mr. Kljun stated the insurance company has not been advised of the damage to the monuments as of yet, however they will be notified when the repairs begin. Mr. Gamache state some insurance companies have contractors they deal with and may want them used for the repair. Mr. Kljun stated he will call the insurance company immediately. Ms. Conklin stated we probably should contact the insurance company as soon as possible; they may want three bids for the repairs. Ms. Conklin stated a police report was completed and Mr. Kljun has gotten three quotes for the repairs.

Ann Conklin stated the financial reports have been received with no opposition.

IV. Unfinished Business:

A. Fairway Pines Proposal

Mr. Gamache stated he requested the proposal be kept in front of members as it is his significant desire for Fairway Pines that we try and move toward the proposal as a basis for funding responsibility and maintenance scheduling. Mr. Gamache stated we can all in principle agree the issue is responsibility and funding authority. Ms. Conklin stated funding authority is what the litigation is based on right now. Mr. Gamache stated the litigation addresses the reserve fund. Mr. Gamache stated the partitions reserve fund gives the authority to spend the money to the subdivisions. Mr. Gamache stated based on reassessments of roadway responsibility that is the subdivisions are responsible for the subdivisions and Canton Township is responsible for Canton Township. Mr. Gamache stated he would like to get a yes or no answer from the Board to each of the proposal points.

Mr. Casari stated in response to the traffic flows, certainly if one road is getting a higher percentage of traffic than another road the wear on that road will be more. Typically what damage the roads are the heavy loads and the freeze-thaw cycles and even vehicle traffic will wear roads to where they need resurfacing. Mr. Casari stated if Summit Parkway is getting a higher percentage of traffic than maybe Glengarry, both being collector roads, subdivision and other traffic, maybe that is something we could look at finding another way to divide the percentages. Mr. Casari stated 12 years ago, he was in Ms. Conklin seat, dealing with the developers. The golf course, recreational community needed to be protected and the idea was how do we do that. A common theme and a common approach to road maintenance was the issue. Mr. Casari stated there is room to discuss other options in regards to traffic if we are able to substantiate the traffic numbers. Mr. Casari stated it is his consensus on the issue is who has put what in and what are they getting out of it. Looking at the percentages, they look off kilter. Mr. Casari stated he received some numbers from Mr. Kljun and Canton and Fairway Pines has received more than they have put in to date. Mr. Casari stated there is room for discussion to assure all the subdivisions and Canton Township are getting what they put in and come up with a fair and equitable way to distribute the monies used for road repair. Mr. Gamache stated that is the position Fairway Pines has taken. Mr. Gamache stated the homeowners expect a representative return based on the needs of the roads.

Mr. Nagy stated what concerns him about the Fairway Pines proposal is the potential for special assessments in the subdivisions. Mr. Nagy stated he doesn't believe that his subdivision of 162 homes is sufficient at the rates they are paying can pay the continued maintenance on their road and in the future. Mr. Nagy stated Pheasant View may not be getting their return now but at sometime in the future Pheasant View can avoid special assessments. Mr. Nagy stated maybe the intent initially was that Summit Parkway was to get a lot of money spent on it in the next

few years, and if the repair is done correctly and within certain standards that will allow other monies to accumulate and when Pheasant View needs road repairs all subdivisions will contribute to those repairs also. Mr. Nagy stated his concern and objective is to avoid special assessments to the homeowners.

Mr. Gamache stated on page 1 of the Fairway Pines proposal the individual subdivisions and Canton Township would have the ability to establish within their association, a reserve fund for anticipated road repairs to give to PRRMA for repairs to that specific subdivision rather than assessing the individual homeowners. Mr. Gamache stated to do this on a yearly basis would give the HOA the ability to control those funds and to determine to what degree the repairs would be. Mr. Gamache stated given another five (5) years for the in depth detailed repair. Mr. Gamache stated he is assuming that Summit Parkway will get a quality repair. Mr. Gamache stated he believes Summit Parkway gets a lot of traffic and the parking lot at the Summit is jammed all the time therefore Summit Parkway will continue to deteriorate at a much higher rate than subdivision streets. Mr. Kljun stated he is seeing an underlying factor; all of these issues are based on conjecture. There is no hard evidence to support positions on the number of vehicles traveling on Summit Parkway or Glengarry. Mr. Kljun stated in his opinion, if PRRMA is to proceed there needs to be solid evidence on traffic flows. Mr. Stephens stated he does not need a traffic study to know that Summit Parkway has more traffic. Mr. Stephens stated if the percentages need to be adjusted then a traffic study needs to be conducted.

Ms. Conklin stated the Township is not trying to shirk it's responsibility and maybe this issue needs to be revisited only if a valid traffic study can be done that can validate the percentages. Ms. Conklin stated her concern is that the Board can have all kinds of conversations and agree and find solutions, however it is all a moot point until we get beyond the litigation. Ms. Conklin stated some great discussions and ideas have come about and she feels it has made PRRMA a much stronger organization for the future. Mr. Gamache stated in the last 14 years there have been a lot of changes, and not a lot of traffic given the facilities on Summit Parkway entering off of Canton Center Road. Ms. Conklin stated she would agree with Mr. Gamache up to Pheasant Run. Mr. Gamache stated Glengarry is the road taken coming south to Summit Parkway to go to the facilities. Mr. Nagy inquired if Mr. Gamache feels that traffic on Summit Parkway has caused the roadway deterioration and therefore the expense to maintain Summit Parkway is greater. Mr. Gamache stated yes, that is his feelings. Mr. Nagy and Mr. Stephens stated they feel that the engineering study does not support that traffic on Summit Parkway has caused the deterioration of the roadway. Mr. Stephens stated he agrees that streets with and without a lot of traffic have had road repairs. Mr. Casari stated the biggest repair on Summit thus far has been south of Glengarry which has the least traffic of all of Summit Parkway.

Mr. Stephens stated he thinks it is very good that Canton is willing to revisit this issue. Mr. Stephens stated one of the underlying principals (although not written

this way) is that everyone pays in and everyone gets to withdraw roughly the same amount. The amounts withdrawn might be spaced out differently over time and gradually even it's self out. Mr. Stephens stated if PRRMA was to do some analysis and discover an inequity where ever it may lay then it would be worthwhile to make a change. Mr. Stephens stated if PRRMA is going to have a reserve fund which is maintained by the HOA or PRRMA makes no difference to him. Mr. Stephens stated his preference is that PRRMA maintain the reserve fund. Mr. Stephens stated PRRMA still needs to decide how much money is needed and how much set aside. In one month's time PRRMA needs to have a budget set for next year and it has not been addressed. The amount of money needs to be known for road maintenance, and what HOA fees are needed and those should be the priority now. Mr. Gamache stated he believes there is a significant difference in PRRMA maintaining the reserve fund and the HOA maintaining the reserve fund. The HOA maintains control and in the proposal the HOA has the final say on what gets spent. Mr. Stephens stated this undermines the whole principle as to how PRRMA was started. Mr. Gamache stated he agrees that it is contrary as to how PRRMA was set up. Mr. Casari stated without PRRMA maintaining the reserve fund there is no assurance that the road maintenance will get done. Mr. Gamache stated the question was asked if the HOA is in default can Canton fix the roads deemed a public safety issue and assess the homeowners. Ms. Conklin stated legal council has not returned an answer as of yet. Mr. Casari stated the whole idea behind PRRMA was to keep the quality consistent and the only way is to have PRRMA in control otherwise a whole new set of rules will be needed. Mr. Gamache stated it is his opinion that the HOA is every bit as interested in maintaining the roads as PRRMA.

Mr. Stephens stated there are several issues to debate. Mr. Stephens stated Fairway Pines could put there list together by priority for PRRMA to address one topic at a time, debate, and agree on an action and move on to the next item.

Mr. Gamache stated he would like to go through the proposal and get a yes or no on each item. Ms. Conklin stated she might possibly not be able to give an answer for all items at this time. Ms. Conklin stated there is a lot of merit to ask the questions and get a compromise or a resolution after discussion. Mr. Nagy inquired if Fairway Pines will pay into the reserve and pay their arrearages if we negotiate this, can we drop the litigation, save the expense and get back to the business PRRMA is all about. Mr. Gamache stated it was in the minutes for May 23, 2007 that if the Fairway Pines proposal is agreed upon and this is the framework within which PRRMA is going to operate. Ms. Conklin stated Mr. Gamache is saying that PRRMA has to agree with the proposals and what the members are saying is that they want to be able to debate, discuss and compromise. Mr. Gamache stated if the members can reach a point that is agreeable with Fairway Pines they would drop it in an instant. Ms. Conklin stated these discussions have been going on for a year now and PRRMA members have been willing to listen and respond. Mr. Gamache stated Fairway Pines had a filter and will not comment.

Deleted: your

Mr. Stephens stated the fundamental difference is that Fairway Pines believes what they put in should be used for their roads. Mr. Stephens stated this is the most fundamental disagreement he has with the entire proposal and he believes it is the one issue that Fairway Pines feels most strongly about. Mr. Gamache stated he will table his response. Mr. Gamache stated the Fairway Pines HOA expects to tell the homeowners it may not be now, but in the future you will get your fair share of what you put in the reserve. Mr. Gamache stated now PRRMA has this money and will decide how it is used and to what degree it is used. Mr. Nagy stated he believes Pheasant View is making an investment in the future and it is a two part question for Fairway Pines, the amount of money contributed and a the time when it will be used. At sometime in the future all will get their fair share or avoid special assessments. Mr. Stephens stated it is all about spreading the cost out. Mr. Gamache stated PRRMA can be a valuable asset to the community with the best resource; a relationship with the engineer and does not see PRRMA as just a bank. Mr. Kljun stated the issue of fair and equitable treatment among the people and under the proposal there is not fair and equitable treatment of the people. Those residents who move in and out won't pay their fair share. The new resident that purchases that house who gets a special assessment for roads they have not used. In Mr. Kljun opinion that is not fair and equitable treatment among people. Mr. Gamache stated in his proposal he is acknowledging the reserve fund is a good idea.

Mr. Stephens stated if subdivisions were able to maintain their own reserve fund, PRRMA would take on a policing role to assure that those funds are always there and accessible to maintain all the roads the same. Mr. Gamache state in his proposal the reserve fund was to be maintained by PRRMA, the \$700,000. Mr. Gamache stated the authority to disperse those funds would be retained by the HOA. Mr. Stephens stated he was ready to vote on any of the proposal items. Mr. Nagy stated he would have to take it back to his board. Mr. Gamache stated he would email the members the proposal for the next meeting. Mr. Stephens would like it to be the last item on the agenda because a budget needs to be set. Ms. Conklin stated she would email the proposal to everyone. Ms. Conklin stated maybe a priority listing of items would be beneficial. Mr. Gamache stated start with page 3 (redefinition of responsibilities of roadways and golf cart crossings) was a sticky point. Page 2 is also difficult. Mr. Stephens stated he feels that the parts of the proposal that impact the litigation should be tackled first. Mr. Gamache stated he needs to give that some thought. Ms. Conklin stated she will not send out the proposal then. Mr. Gamache stated he would get back with everyone the first part of next week.

B. Reserve Funding Options-Craig Stephens

Mr. Stephens stated he changed the presentation and the multiplier was made more logical. Mr. Stephens stated in each scenario he raised dues 10% each year. Each subdivision starts running into problems in years 20012-2013. Each scenario showed declining reserve funds in later years. Mr. Stephens stated once annual dues are fixed there is not much to play with.

Mr. Stephens stated HOA do have options. If the HOA sees the problems ahead of time they can start reducing their expenses or raising the annual dues to defer the problem. Mr. Nagy stated they cannot reduce expenses any further; there is no fluff in Pheasant View's Association Budget.

Mr. Kljun inquired how this presentation differs from what the current reserve study is proposing. Mr. Kljun stated the concept of an annual increase. Mr. Kljun stated in 2012 the concept of conglomeration is being overlooked. In 2012 Fairways has an excessive amount of money and that is the money that is suppose to compensate for the other subdivisions. Mr. Stephens stated in 2012 this excess money in this presentation is Fairways HOA's money, not PRRMAs. Ms. Conklin stated the bottom line in the presentation is the reserve funding for each individual homeowners association contribution for PRRMA to be able to achieve the goals in the reserve study. Mr. Stephens stated this presentation is not that different than what you can read in the reserve study. This presentation just takes the information in the reserve study and shows what it means to each HOA. Ms. Conklin stated as PRRMA makes their decision the Board needs to know how these decisions will impact the HOA. Mr. Stephens stated this is why he developed the spreadsheet presentation. The presentation wasn't to tell any HOA they have to do anything. If PRRMA believes it will take a certain amount of money to maintain the roads this is what it means to each individual HOA. Mr. Stephens stated if PRRMA asked each HOA for a certain amount of money to maintain the roads this is the kind of problem PRRMA will have to deal with. Ms. Conklin stated are the roadway maintenance funds from the study numbers PRRMA can really buy into. Mr. Gamache stated this is open for discussion if these numbers are needed.

Mr. Kljun stated the new reserve study indicates that contributions to the reserve fund increase at the rate of inflation. Mr. Gamache and Ms. Conklin stated they thought increases were well beyond that. Ms. Conklin stated the study indicated that PRRMA was behind in contributions and once PRRMA got to a certain level in reserve they could increase at the rate of inflation. Mr. Nagy stated a special assessment to cover the arrearages of \$400,000 to play catch up according to the reserve study was \$11.00 per month for two (2) years.

Mr. Stephens stated \$300,000 is the average repairs per year on what is seen so far, so the reserve study is not that far out of line.

All members indicated they have saved money within the HOA by reducing contracts for landscaping, snow removal, and optimizing water schedule. Mr. Nagy indicated the subdivisions might consolidate services and receive volume discounts.

Mr. Stephens stated preventative maintenance will lower the total cost. Mr. Nagy stated Pheasant View has had an infrastructure failure in the storm sewer. A sink hole has developed and it is costing \$2,500 to repair, which is the HOA responsibility to repair. If infrastructure failures continue to happen, it will enable HOA to contribute to PRRMA.

Mr. Stephens stated the HOA's have not been funding the roadway maintenance program like they should and we will have to catch up.

Mr. Nagy inquired if PRRMA could reverse engineer this process and raise dues 10% per year, and how much road maintenance could PRRMA afford. Any shortfall will be made up by a special assessment. Mr. Stephens showed this process on his spreadsheet presentation.

Mr. Casari stated with Canton doing the pothole repairs PRRMA has control over the quality. Mr. Casari stated a public education seminar for the residents and limiting water use so water is not getting behind the curb to freeze and thaw on asphalt roads. Mr. Gamache stated until we have a firm understanding what the cost for road repair and maintenance will be we cannot afford to spend a nickel. Mr. Gamache stated all the money needs to stay where it is right now. Mr. Nagy stated financial guests have spoken to PRRMA and Mr. Stephens has put a lot of work into his presentations and he would like to appeal to Mr. Gamache and his HOA Board to drop this stuff and come into compliance with PRRMA. Mr. Nagy stated there are money issues and PRRMA cannot afford to continue this litigation expense. Mr. Gamache stated the fundamental issues are, how much money does PRRMA need, where the money is spent and who has control over the money and what gets done either from a safety or esthetic standpoint. The major issues for Fairway Pines is Summit Parkway, Glengarry and the golf crossings. Mr. Kljun stated at the start of the year the priorities were decided upon and the PRRMA Board makes those decisions. Ms. Conklin stated how does PRRMA answer the questions brought forward by other HOA's that believes the esthetics is important because it increases the value of their home and follows the covenants and restrictions as a community.

Ms. Conklin stated Mr. Stephens's presentation should be a guide for PRRMA for repairs and budget. Mr. Nagy stated he will start preparing homeowners in his subdivision that dues will be raised 10% and will receive an increase bill from PRRMA. Mr. Stephens stated this will be hard for the HOA and homeowners to deal with, however it is a reality. Mr. Stephens stated he will be happy to bring the presentation to HOA's meetings and explain to their Boards. Mr. Gamache stated he will inquire with his Board. Ms. Conklin stated maybe this presentation could be done at the HOA's after the budget is complete.

Mr. Nagy inquired if the golf courses became responsible for the golf courses and PRRMA maintain the golf crossings could PRRMA back-charged for those repairs. Ms. Conklin stated Canton is willing to look at what its responsibilities are. Ms. Conklin stated the brick pavers were put in place for the HOA's, those will probably be pulled out and stamped concrete will replace the pavers. Ms. Conklin stated Canton is willing to discuss the division of percentage they pay. Once a decision is made it will have to be taken to the Board of Trustee's for approval.

C. 2007 Road Repairs

Mr. Casari stated he does not have the cost as of yet, and hopefully he will in the next couple of days. Ms. Conklin stated if it is before the next meeting and they want to move on it right away, they will email everyone.

Mr. Stephens inquired if a high quality patch will be sufficient this year. Mr. Casari stated he was not sure, and they would look at the study that Mr. Zilincik did last year. Public Works needs to finish the list of equipment required before Mr. Zilincik can get involved. Mr. Casari stated he will have Public Works do the work for a day and then measure up the cost. Mr. Stephens indicated the deadline is August 1, 2007 for PRRMA to decide on the road repairs for 2008. Ms. Conklin inquired if Mr. Casari could made recommendations of repairs that will need to be done for 2008. Mr. Casari stated Todd and Gary went out and marked every road and completed an inventory of repairs needed. Mr. Casari stated he has a good understanding of the total square footage of pothole repair. However does not have a handle on the Township's cost to do this work. Mr. Stephens stated if Mr. Casari could get PRRMA the cost then Mr. Kljun could get three (3) quotes for the repairs Canton cannot do.

D. Pheasant View Traffic Study Options

Mr. Kljun stated he received bids for Dietrich Bailey and some of the bid numbers are estimates. Dietrich Bailey submitted a bid for \$18,000 to perform an initial study of the modifications to Summit Parkway and Glengarry Blvd. that was discussed by PRRMA in previous meetings. Mr. Kljun stated about \$2,000 to \$3,000 of that bid would be expected to provide cost estimates only. The \$18,000 is for engineering drawings. An estimate of the total cost for the modification to Glengarry Blvd and Summit Parkway would be approximately \$389,000. A traffic control single may be needed at Glengarry and Summit Parkway. It was the consensus of PRRMA not to move forward with this project.

Mr. Nagy indicated some signs for "No through Traffic" need to be put up. Mr. Nagy has received numerous calls from residents on Southwyck. Mr. Casari stated if "No Left Turns" sign is put up the residents will not be able to turn either. Mr. Nagy inquired if a sign coming west of Beck Road for eastbound traffic off Central Parkway indicating you have to turn left or right that you cannot go straight would be beneficial. Ms. Conklin stated Central Parkway is a County Road. Ms. Conklin inquired if Mr. Casari would call the County and see if these signs could be installed. Mr. Casari stated "Private Road No Entry" could be installed on Summit Parkway, Southwyck and Crowndale. Ms. Conklin stated three (3) price quotes will be needed. After quotes are obtained they will be emailed to members for approval. Ms. Conklin stated Public Safety indicated they will not enforce the signs. Mr. Stephens stated the sign should not be too decorative and look official.

E. Operations Handbook Update

Mr. Kljun passed out the first draft. Ms. Conklin stated she has reviewed the document and feels the Sidewalk Ordinance needs to be included. Mr. Kljun stated

he would like members to read and makes judgments for modifications and return to him. Mr. Gamache stated dates for modifications should be included on the pages.

Mr. Kljun stated he has included under Item G. General Contract Terms and Conditions: with specific information concerning insurance on Item 3. Ms. Conklin stated PRRMA needs to be named additional insured with any contractors and likewise if Canton hires contractors the subdivisions should also be named as insured. Mr. Nagy stated when contractors are hired it is important to get a Certificate of Insurance and in the bottom right hand corner for additional named insured under the contractor. Mr. Nagy stated whatever the face value of contracts that you hire, your insurance company pays a workman's comp premium and if you can demonstrate you have workman's comp on these contracts they will refund a portion of the workman's comp premium.

Mr. Kljun stated that in the Handbook Item H. is a Calendar of Events and these items should be done on a consistent basis. Channel all modifications to Mr. Kljun.

V. New Business

A. 2007/2008 Budget

Ms. Conklin stated the budget year begins in August 2007. Ms. Conklin stated we need to be more specific and detailed on what is really going to be done. Mr. Kljun handed out a copy of the budget and forms to be completed by members of things that should be included in the various line items. These forms should be brought to meetings on a continuous basis listing priorities. This will assist in building the budget. Mr. Kljun will email a clean copy to each member. This Budget element description packet list budget categories. Mr. Stephens stated the name Reserve Fund is outdated and should be called Roadway Repair Fund (RRF). The Reserve Fund has all kinds of connotations. Mr. Gamache stated this also needs to be dated as revisions are made. Mr. Conklin stated items need to be on hole punched paper for each persons manual. Mr. Kljun will update each member's manual.

VI. Other Business

A. Pending Litigation

Ms. Conklin stated the litigation has been filed and Fairway Pines has requested an extension and it has been granted for the first week in July.

Mr. Gamache stated PRRMA's responsibility is sidewalk to sidewalk and Mr. Stephens commented in previous minutes that we could return the sidewalk repair back to the homeowner. Mr. Gamache stated this would significantly impact what our cost will be. Mr. Gamache stated we have sidewalk repair and does it include snow removal. Mr. Kljun stated it does not include snow removal. Mr. Gamache inquired if the sidewalks on Summit Blvd. has snow removal and who pays for this. Ms. Conklin stated Canton pays for the snow removal on Summit Blvd. Mr. Gamache stated his proposal is that PRRMA establish a policy for PRRMA indicating PRRMA is responsible curb to curb. Ms. Conklin stated this is a

fundamental change. Mr. Gamache would like this added to next months agenda. Mr. Kljun stated there are some sidewalks not in front of homes. Sidewalks in front of parks and along Canton Center Road were part of the snow removal requirement from Canton Township.

Next meeting will be July 25, 2007 at 5:30 p.m.

VII. Adjournment

Motion by Nagy, supported by Gamache to adjourn at 8:29 p.m. Motion carried unanimously.

▼-----

Deleted:

Formatted: Indent: Left: 1"